



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,818	07/23/2003	Tyler Sims	10030070-1	7824
75	90 07/22/2005		EXAM	INER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429			KIM, ELLEN E	
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2874	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/625,818	SIMS, TYLER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ellen Kim	2874			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	av 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-7.10-14 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3,8,9,15 and 16 are subject to restri	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ite			
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/23/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/625,818

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4-7, 10-14, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The reflection optical switch system is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The reflection optical switch system is only stated in the preamble of the claim. The detail structure of the optical switch is not claimed in the body of the claim. It is not clear how the claimed structure can be operated as a reflection optical switch system.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 7, the following statement in the last two lines, "... when actuated getter impurities from the fluid stored in the chamber.", is not clearly stated in claim 7.

Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how the gettering

Art Unit: 2874

structures are "optically accessible from outside the chamber". "Optically accessible" is not clearly defined in the claim.

In claims 5, 11 and 18, the term "coupon" is not clearly defined in the claim.

Note that the definition of "coupon" in WEBSTER'S II, New Riverside University

Dictionary, is "1. a negotiable certificate. 2. a detachable part, as of ticket or advertisement. 3. a detachable slip calling for periodic payments.". It seems none of the definitions can be applied to the claimed language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,818

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1, 6, 7, 12,14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Nystrom et al [USPAT 6,477,222].

Nystrom et al disclose an optical switch system comprising:

A chamber 14;

Gettering structures 30 within the chamber, the gettering structures including heating components [column 5, lines 21-23].

The claimed method of operating the device, such as "...when actuated...", is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore this limitation has not given any patentable weight.

In claim 7, note that the limitation, "...that stores fluid..." has not given any patentable weight because the chamber can store any material, and Nystrom et al chamber certainly can store fluid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/625,818

Art Unit: 2874

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nystrom et al.

Nystrom et al disclose every aspect of claimed invention except for the gettering structures including bridge structure.

It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device to include the bridge structured electrode heater for the purpose of covering the chamber. Nystrom et al teach at column 5, lines 21-23 that direct electrical resistance heating can be utilized for heating the fluid reservoir.

Conclusion

In formation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

For all official patent application related correspondence for organizations reporting to the Commissioner of Patents:

Art Unit: 2874

- Correspondence that is transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

g/h/L

Ellen E. Kim

Primary Examiner

July 20, 2005/EK